

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/847,526 05/01/2001		Daniel Santi	300622005400	4025	
25225	7590 11/18/2003		EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE			MCKELVEY, TERRY ALAN		
SUITE 500	ET CENTRE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN DIEGO	, CA 92130-2332	1636			

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/847.5	09/847,526 SANTI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin		Art Unit				
		Terry A. N		1636				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		_ 		dress			
Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolunication. 0) days, a reply within the statestutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (30 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this cond DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			_					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file							
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation for the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the application of a claim for the foreign lands of the first sentence was included in the	documents have been documents have been documents have been of the priority document had bureau (PCT Rule or domestic priority upon the first sentence and agree provisional approvisional approximate approvisional approximate approvisional approximate approxima	en received. En received in Applents have been received in Applents have been received in Applents have been received not received as U.S.C. § 1 application has been noted as U.S.C. §§	lication No ceived in this National Sceived. 119(e) (to a provisional on or in an Application In received. 120 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.			
Attachmen								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		_	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-	· — ·			

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 9-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-4 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Khosla et al (Applicant reference no. 1, U.S. Patent No. 5,672,491). This rejection is maintained for reasons of record set forth in the Office Action mailed 3/25/03 and repeated below. Applicants' arguments filed 8/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Khosla et al teach a method of producing a new polyketide by deleting the entire natural PKS gene cluster of a cell that is optimized to produce a polyketide, and then introducing a functional PKS gene set into the cell, which then produces the new polyketide (columns 11-12). The PKS gene set can comprise

Application/Control Number: 09/847,526

Art Unit: 1636

native or hybrid combinations of PKS subunits or mutants (and thus would produce a derivative of the second polyketide). A number of polyketides were produced using the method (columns 15-16). In one example of the method, approximately 100 mg of the mixture of polyketides could be easily produced from 1 l of the culture (column 22).

Response to Arguments

The applicant argues that the '491 patent does not describe the use of an overproducing cell that has been optimized for the production of a second polyketide, to produce a first polyketide. This argument is not persuasive because Khosla et al teach that the preferred host cells for the method of PKS deletion/replacement are cells that are abundant producers of polyketides (column 11), which reads on overproducing cell that is optimized to express PKS genes because read as broadly as is reasonable, the phrase does not exclude natural overproducing cells ("abundant producers" as taught by the cited reference) that are naturally optimized to produce polyketides. As shown by claims 7-8 of the instant application, the amount of polyketides produced from such overproducer cells can be as low as 10-100 mg/l, which is the range of production of a polyketide in Example 3 (column 22) as taught by Khosla et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,526

Art Unit: 1636

The applicant also argues that the '491 patent does not specifically refer to the subset of overproducing cells that are claimed in the subject application and thus the decision on Integra Lifesciences v. Merck are applicable. This argument is not persuasive because the phrase "overproducing cell that is optimized to express PKS genes" can reasonably be read broadly enough to encompass the cells specifically taught by Khosla et al. So, the species is taught by the cited reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action

Application/Control Number: 09/847,526

Art Unit: 1636

is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 703-872-9306. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning rejections or other major issues in this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry A. McKelvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, except for Wednesdays, from about 7:30 AM

Art Unit: 1636

to about 6:00 PM. A phone message left at this number will be responded to as soon as possible (i.e., shortly after the examiner returns to his office).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Remy Yucel can be reached on (703) 305-1998.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Sem a Miteliery
Terry A. McKelvey, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1636

November 16, 2003